



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,608	12/27/2000	Albert S. Lui	CSCO-94301	1440	
7590 08/25/2005			EXAMINER		
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			JUNG, MIN		
Third Floor Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95113			2663		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A-N-Ai-Ai-	Annticont(a)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/752,608	LUI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Min Jung	2663				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	s			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOns ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re to reply within the statutory minimum of thirt riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	11 May 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-33 and 35-40</u> is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) <u>1-23,25-33,35-38 and 40</u> is/are all Claim(s) <u>24 and 39</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration. lowed.					
Applicati	on Papers						
·	The specification is objected to by the Exan The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)□ objected to l	-				
11)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •			
•	•	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of John F 10-18	<i>J</i> 2.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bustee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Itents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	l e			
Attachment	• •	🗖					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·	l			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless ~

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada, US 5,715,285.

Yamada discloses a data transmission system having a transmission apparatus and a receiving apparatus connected by a network. Specifically, Yamada teaches a source device for generating and transmitting data representing a received timing reference signal (Fig. 1, and col. 6, lines 28-32, the system clock signal 20 is received

Art Unit: 2663

by the time information generation circuit 14); a target device for receiving the data representing the timing reference signal and for synchronizing a synthesized timing reference signal to the timing reference signal (Fig. 2, and col. 6, lines 47-59, and col. 7, lines 1-14); and a network for coupling the source device and the target device (ATM network 17).

3. Claims 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reynolds et al., US 6,574,225 (Reynolds).

Reynolds discloses a clock recovery in a packet based data network.

Specifically, Reynolds teaches a method for synthesizing and synchronizing a timing reference signal in a network, having the steps of : generating a constant frequency signal at a target device (slave node 108 including a controlled oscillator 160, Fig. 1); generating data representing the constant frequency signal (slave media time counter 146, col. 3, lines 56-59); receiving a network packet containing data representing a timing reference signal at the target device from a source device (slave transmission interface 126 receiving a packet including the latched value of the master media time counter, col. 3, lines 23-26); extracting the data representing the timing reference signal from the network packet (extracting the latched value of the master media time counter, col. 3, lines 23-26, and lines 59-62); comparing the data representing the timing reference signal with the data representing the constant frequency signal (comparator 152, col. 3, lines 62-63); adjusting the constant frequency signal based on the comparison of the timing reference signal and the constant frequency signal (the

Application/Control Number: 09/752,608 Page 4

Art Unit: 2663

on both the phase and frequency of the slave time counter to that of the master time counter, col. 3, line 64 – col. 4, line 5).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed May 31, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants allege that the limitation of claim 25 has been added to claim 24. However, claims 24 and 25 are in their original form.

Regarding claim 39, applicants allege that Reynolds et al. does not teach "extracting said data representing said timing reference signal from said network packet". However, the section pointed out in the last office action (col. 3, lines 23-26 and 59-62) clearly teaches extracting of the latched value of the master media time counter from the received packet. Therefore, the argument is not persuasive, and the same rejection is repeated above.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-23, 25-33, 35-38, and 40 are allowed.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Min Jung whose telephone number is 571-272-3127. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Thursday, Friday 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

MJ

April 15, 2005

Min Jung

Primary Examiner